

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/887,810

Applicant(s)

RAO, SRIRAM

Examiner

Mark A. Mais

Art Unit

2616

## All Participants:

(1) Mark A. Mais.

(2) Chris Brokaw.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 19 July 2006

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Independent claims 1, 7, 16, and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
Independent claims 1, 7, 16, and 22 were discussed. Statutory subject matter under 35 USC 101 was discussed and an agreement was made. Examiner and Applicant's representative agreed that the independent claims would be amended to put them in a form for allowance by Examiner's Amendment. .